

Assistant County Counsel  
Forrest W. Hansen

Chief Civil Litigator  
Roger S. Matzkind

Chief Deputy  
Thomas E. Ebersole



OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

JAMES N. FINCHER  
County Counsel

Deputies  
Jeffrey B. Grant  
Janinda Gunawardene  
Maile C. Dunlap  
Jenna M. Anderson  
Jennifer O. Trimble  
Janine L. Highiet-Ivicevic  
David I. Hood

August 2, 2019

**Via U.S. Mail & E-mail: 76603-14975770@requests.muckrock.com**

Dan Rubins  
MuckRock News  
DEPT MR 76613  
411A Highland Ave  
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

**Re: Public Records Act Request (Government Code §6250, et.seq.)**

Dear Mr. Rubins:

On July 5, 2019, our office was forwarded your request for: All "Brady lists," Giglio lists, "potential impeachment disclosure lists," or any similar compiled records or lists of records of the type set forth in California Penal Code § 832.7(b)(1)(C). For the reasons set forth below, the lists requested are exempt from production under the California Public Records Act (CPRA).

A "*Brady List*" is exempt from disclosure under several CPRA exemptions. First, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), exempts from production "any investigatory or security files compiled by any other state or local agency for correctional, law enforcement, or licensing purposes." This exemption applies to District Attorney files and continues to apply even if the investigation is closed. (*Williams v. Superior Court* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 351, 354, 362 and *Rivero v. Superior Court* (1997) 54 Cal.App.4th 1048, 1059.)

Second, a "*Brady List*" is also exempt from production under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), as the records are exempt from disclosure under other provisions of law, specifically, Penal Code sections 832.7 & 832.8 [continued confidentiality of peace officer personnel records outside of limited categories], 1054.6 [attorney work product], Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.30 [attorney work product], and Evidence Code section 1040 [official information]. (*Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court*, supra, 42 Cal.4th at p. 289 [confidential nature of peace officer personnel records applies to any "information obtained from those records"]; *Association for Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs v. Superior Court* (2017) 13 Cal.App.5th 413, 445 [disclosure of sheriff

*Re: Merced Co. District Attorney PRA request*

*August 2, 2019*

*Page 2 of 2*

---

deputy identities from *Brady* list prohibited absent a properly filed and granted *Pitchess* motion]; *Dowden v. Superior Court* (1999) 73 Cal.App.4th 126, 128-135 [attorney work product]; *Fellows v. Superior Court* (Los Angeles County) (1980) 108 Cal.App.3d 55, 63 [same].)

For the reasons stated above, the lists requested are exempt from disclosure. In short, SB 1421 material may be used when a law enforcement agency is creating a "*Brady* list," but the list itself is not SB 1421 material. If you would like to amend your request to limit it to SB 1421 records please contact us.

Very truly yours,

James N. Fincher  
Merced County Counsel



Jeffrey B. Grant  
Deputy County Counsel

JBG/sf

cc: Merced County District Attorney